

PENRITH LOCAL PLANNING PANEL

DETERMINATION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS

APPLICATION NUMBER	DA21/0045 – 42 – 50 Station Street & 134 – 144 Henry Street PENRITH NSW 2747
DATE OF DETERMINATION	21 April 2021
PANEL MEMBERS	Jason Perica (Chair) John Brunton (Expert) Mary-Lynne Taylor (Expert) Stephen Welsh (Community Representative)
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	No conflicts of interest were declared
LISTED SPEAKERS	Chris Bielby – Applicant Matt Bennett – Applicant Wasique Mohyuddin – Owner Michael Jackson - Owner

Public Meeting held via video conference on Wednesday 21 April 2021, starting at 2:00pm.

Matter Determined pursuant to Section 4.16 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Development Application DA21/0045, Lot 1 DP 164798, Lot 11 DP 553665, Lot 10 DP 553665, Lot 2 DP 556075, Lot 1 DP 252457, Lot E DP 163176, Lot 1 DP 526304, Lot 3 DP 1200790, 42 – 50 Station Street & 134 – 144 Henry Street PENRITH NSW 2747 - Demolition Works & Land Remediation Works to Facilitate Proposed Penrith City Park.

Panel Consideration

The Panel had regard to the assessment report prepared by Council Officers, and the following plans;

- Penrith Local Environmental Plan 2010
- Penrith Development Control Plan 2014
- State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 – Remediation of Land

Penrith City Council
PO Box 60, Penrith
NSW 2751 Australia
T 4732 7777
F 4732 7958
penrithcity.nsw.gov.au

Panel Decision

DA21/0045, Lot 1 DP 164798, Lot 11 DP 553665, Lot 10 DP 553665, Lot 2 DP 556075, Lot 1 DP 252457, Lot E DP 163176, Lot 1 DP 526304, Lot 3 DP

1200790, 42 – 50 Station Street & 134 – 144 Henry Street PENRITH NSW 2747 - Demolition Works & Land Remediation Works to Facilitate Proposed Penrith City Park be approved approved subject to the recommended conditions of consent outlined within the Council Officer assessment report.

Reasons for the Decision

The Panel generally agreed with the assessment contained with Council's Assessment Report, including the following reasons for the decision:-

- The proposed development is preparatory in nature and is designed to make the site suitable for adaptive re-use.
- The proposal has been suitably considered having regard to State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 – Remediation of Land with a Remediation Action Plan supporting the application to ensure that the land can be made suitable thereby complying with the key considerations in that environmental planning instrument.
- Heritage issues and potential environmental impacts are appropriately addressed by conditions of consent.

In terms of considering community views, the Panel noted there were no submissions received from the public notification of the Development Application and no verbal submissions were made to the Panel.

Votes

The decision was unanimous.

Jason Perica – Chair 	John Brunton – Expert 
Mary-Lynne Taylor – Expert 	Stephen Welsh – Community Representative 